

RESEARCH ARTICLE



OPEN ACCESS

Received: 12.04.2019

Accepted: 28.05.2019

Published: 4.06.2019

Citation: Srikanth K, Rao ABSVR. (2019). Consequence of Diabetes on Psychosocial Wellbeing and Quality of Life. Geographical Analysis. 8(1): 38-42. <https://doi.org/10.53989/bu.ga.v8i1.8>

Funding: None

Competing Interests: None

Copyright: © 2019 Srikanth & Rao. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Published By Bangalore University, Bengaluru, Karnataka

ISSN

Print: 2319-5371

Electronic: XXXX-XXXX

Consequence of Diabetes on Psychosocial Wellbeing and Quality of Life

K Srikanth^{1,2}, A B S V Ranga Rao

¹ Research Scholar, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India

² Department of Social Work, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India

Abstract

The persons living with Diabetes in the community does not realize their actual situation. The knowledge levels of persons with acute diabetes infuse insulin and in case of Type 2 diabetes patients is comparatively moderate in symptoms of common men and experts. Individuals with diabetes survive in a community that does not realize their ailment. The complete opinion that persons with severe diabetes infuse insulin and that Type 2 diabetes is minor persists prevalent within the common people and still with certain health care specialists. The Diabetes attitudes, wishes, and needs study, underlined that emotional assistance in this unit of patients is lower than source and ineffective, causing in weak quality of life (QoL) and decreased common well-being. It is frequently tricky for much to agree that they must take medicines consistently during their life cycle, causing in weak medication adherence and diabetes personal administration. These psycho social problems can eventually develop into depressive or other psychological disorders that are associated with poor self-care behavior, poor metabolic outcomes, increased mortality, functional limitations, increased health-care cost, loss of productivity, and reduced QoL. To make the situation worse, only few people understand that diabetes is a condition that cannot be simply controlled by medications and that the existence of diabetes evidently modifies the lives of persons and their dependents. Consequently, during this article we will be reviewing the scientific evidence of the consequences of impaired psychological wellbeing and poor perception of quality of life on patients with diabetes. We will be enlightening the importance of social work and self-empowerment on this aspect of management, which is crucial for the improvement in the physical, psychological, and social wellbeing of every patient suffering from this disease.

Keywords: Diabetes; psychological; wellbeing; quality of life

Introduction

The aims of the St Vincent Declaration are to line goals and targets to enhance quality of life and anticipation for people with DM and to scale back the serious complications associ-

ated with the disease. Attainment of these goals will vary on great association and managing of essential services to persons with diabetes, but the objectives are a clear-cut indicator that the significance of deterrence and learning have been accepted.

“Persistent progress in health knowledge and a life close to regular prospects in value and capacity” (St Vincent Declaration, 1990) enhancing psychological wellbeing in patients with diabetes was one of the key objectives of the St Vincent Statement. Unfortunately, this aspect is many times forgotten in our management, and little attention is often given to the psychological implications of diabetes (Bradley & Gamsu, 1994). There is a slight uncertainty that diabetes be able to be an awfully difficult ailment to stay with and its influence on quality of life is immense. For several patients, the requirements of self-care can be difficult, disappointing, and irresistible, influencing the physical, psychological, and community features of daily life cycle. Assisting to attain improved diabetes management differs not only on striving to decline the occurrence and frequency of the severe and persistent difficulties, but also on increasing a diabetic person’s psychological safety and sensitivity of living excellence.

Objectives

To know the characteristics of diabetes. To know the relationship between psychological wellbeing and diabetes. Diabetes Influences on Social Relationships.

Psychological Wellbeing and Diabetes

Psychological wellbeing is itself a crucial goal of medical aid, and psychosocial factors are relevant to just about all aspects of diabetes management. Being diagnosed with diabetes imposes a life-long psychological burden on the person and his/her family. Poor psychological functioning causes suffering can seriously interfere with daily diabetes self-management and is associated with poor medical outcomes and high costs (Egede, Zheng, & Simpson, 2002). Monitoring for psychological wellbeing, detecting psychological problems, and discussing and treating this facet of disease should improve clinical outcomes. The Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes and Needs (DAWN) programme, the largest global psychosocial study related to diabetes care, reported that many patients with Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes experience psychological problems (67.9% and 65.6%, respectively). Despite the widespread prevalence of diabetes-related distress and its important negative consequences, only a touch minority of people with diabetes (3.3%) had received psychological treatment for diabetes-related problems within the 5 years prior to the survey (Skovlund & Peyrot, 2005). Even a minor abnormality without serious implications can be upsetting. Developing a complication like nephropathy or visual defect could also be devastating. Naess, Midtjhell, Moum, Sorensen, and Tambs (1995) showed that the psychological wellbeing of diabetic patients was found to be significantly poorer than that of these without diabetes, but better than that of these with angina and stroke, two important complications of diabetes. Patients may react strongly and in unexpected ways. Extreme

anger could also be directed at the physician or institution. Such anger usually reflects deeper emotions, including fear of long anticipated problems and guilt about not being compliant. Listening to the expressions of anger, asking about other worries, and explaining what new clinical complications and their sequelae mean and what are often done can help calm the patient’s underlying fears. In families where there are signs of tension, there is a higher rate of adjustment problems (Jacobson, Hauser, & Lavori, 1994). Thus, when behavioral, psychological, and family problems are identified at the onset of disease, the patient should be carefully monitored, and immediate psychosocial intervention considered.

Quality of Life and Diabetes

Quality of life is strongly associated with the individual’s perception of his or her life situation. Different people will therefore have different perceptions of the effect of diabetes in their lives. When assessing health-related quality of life one should consider the patient’s views of his own health and wellbeing in the areas of physical, psychological, and social functioning (Polonsky, 2000). Effects of diabetes on physical functioning Diabetes can affect physical health in various ways. The most notorious is that the development of long-term complications and their consequences. When patients suffer visual defect, heart problems, end stage renal disease, impotence or peripheral neuropathy leading to chronic pain, or maybe worse an amputation, there is likely to be a significant drop in perceived quality of life. The patient will be less able to participate in pleasurable activities and his ability to function independently may be impaired as well. Gregg et al. (2000) evidenced that diabetes may be a major burden of physical disability in adults which these disabilities may substantially impair their quality of life. They found that coronary heart condition was the main contributor for disability, with stroke especially effecting men. On the other hand, obesity was also an important factor, above all influencing women. Loss of lower extremity physical functioning was also an important contributor to decreased quality of life.

The second factor is short-term complications. Recurrent hypoglycaemic episodes or frequent infections will certainly have an impression on life quality. Patients with diabetes could be experiencing several side effects from hypoglycaemia. Davis, Morrissey, Wittrup-Jensen, Kennedy-Martin, and Curry (2005) proved that hypoglycaemia impacts heavily on the wellbeing, productivity and thus the life quality of people with diabetes. The third major factor concerns physical symptoms and lifestyle changes resulting from the stress of the diabetes regimen. A person on a strict insulin regime might imagine that he must avoid going bent night-time parties or may need to stop driving due to recurrent hypoglycaemia whilst on insulin. This may result in treatment frustration, which influences management of the disease. This results

in poor diabetes control with further emotional distress. On the contrary, Weinger and Jacobson (2001) further showed that those that improved their glycaemic control improved diabetes-related emotional distress.

The DAWN study, involving adults with Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes, was created because more than half of people with diabetes do not achieve good health and quality of life, despite the availability of effective medical treatments. This study reported that diabetes-related distress is high at diagnosis (85.2% reported feeling shocked, guilty, angry, anxious, depressed, or helpless). Long after diagnosis (mean duration of just about 15 years), problems of living with diabetes were prevalent, including fear of future complications and resulting social disabilities, also as immediate social and psychological burdens. Three of four (73.6%) reported at least one of these fears or burdens (Funnell, 2006).

The Insulin therapy improves glycaemic control effectively and although it's more demanding, it's been shown that there's no adverse effect on life quality. During this study by De Sonnaville et al. (1998), initiation of insulin effectively lowered HbA1c and did not affect to an important degree the wellbeing or satisfaction of patients in the study group. Only a modest weight gain and a minor increase in emotional fatigue and burden of treatment were observed. New issues arise in adults with Type 1 diabetes. Hahl et al. (2004) revealed that the high prevalence of symptoms of long-term complications combined with their significant negative influence on health-related quality of life causes substantial losses in terms of life quality and utility from both individual and societal perspectives. Besides the presence of complications, the less physically active and people on lower incomes are more likely to experience a poorer quality of life (Lloyd & Orchard, 1999).

Diabetes Influences on Social Relationships

The mere presence of diabetes can affect the number and quality of a patient's relationships. As patients begin to institute changes in daily habits to manage diabetes most effectively, loved ones may begin to rebel, alternatively, friends or family members may begin to push for self-care changes even when the patient is unwilling to make them, very possible in young growing diabetic patient. In either case, it's easy to start feeling alone with diabetes, feeling different and unsupported, and believing that nobody can understand what living with diabetes is basically like. Jacobson et al. (2004) followed a gaggle of young adults with Type 1 diabetes. These were compared to an age-matched group. The diabetes group reported fewer friendships overall and that they experienced less trust and sense of intimate friendship crazy relationships. The incidence of Type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents is increasing dramatically. Many societies view overweight individuals in an unfavorable light. These biases include the belief

that obese individuals lack self-control and have lower intelligence. Overweight children could even be relentlessly teased and harassed, resulting in reduced self-esteem and, in many instances, leading to signs of clinical depression. The social burden of obesity in childhood may have lasting effects on self-esteem, body image and economic mobility (Ponder, Sullivan, & McBath, 2000).

The goals of diabetes education are to optimize metabolic control, prevent acute and chronic complications, and optimize quality of life while keeping costs acceptable (Norris, Engelgau, & Venkat Narayan, 2001). Patient education is considered an evident part of the treatment of chronic illnesses (Wagner, Austin, & von Korff, 1996). Nowadays the tutorial approach is more proactive and focuses on the patients' everyday experiences of living with a disease, as compared to earlier models of care, which were rather information-based. We emphasize the importance of increasing patient autonomy and independency. Education should be ongoing. One must learn to integrate the knowledge he accumulates into everyday practice (Rankin & Stallings, 2001).

Empowerment and Self-management

To manage diabetes successfully, patients should study their disease to be ready to take daily decisions independently. These decisions besides being effective should consider multiple physiological and personal psychosocial factors. Intervention strategies that enable patients to form decisions about goals, therapeutic options, and self-care behaviors and to assume responsibility for daily diabetes care are effective in helping patients look after themselves. Our aim should be to help patients discover and develop the inherent capacity to be responsible for one's own life. This is patient empowerment (Funnell & Anderson, 2004).

Diabetes self-management is a smaller amount than optimal and is compromised frequently by diabetes-related distress, which frequently is not treated. As a part of our role as diabetes educators, we should always initially attempt to lay the idea for a collaborative diabetes care relationship. A better understanding of the social and psychological problems that folks with diabetes face is important. Through our knowledge and experience, we should be there to help patients identify personal goals and behaviors and motivate them to achieve self-determined targets. Health care professionals should abandon the concept of trying to urge patients to comply or adhere better. Traditionally, the health care provider is seen because the expert who knows what is best for the patient and uses advice-giving as a system to treat patients. This method might generate resistance and might ignore what is the foremost important to patients.

Behavioral intervention to be effective must first assess what the roots for poor diabetes control are, including social and psychological problems and lack of knowledge about the disease. One must then build an interpersonal relationship

where both patient and health care providers hear each other's views, build confidence in one another and when the patients are able to hear more about treating their disease one can provide directives (Delamater, 2006). In view of this, it is important to identify and support patients with psychosocial problems early during diabetes as it may affect their ability to adjust or take adequate responsibility for self-care. Addressing the psychological needs leads to improvement on diabetes outcomes in terms of higher glycemic control and reduced comorbid psychiatric disorders like depressed mood.

The psychological factors like self-efficacy, self-esteem, diabetes coping, and social support were found to be related to good treatment adherence and glycemic control, whereas factors like stressful life events, daily environmental stressors, and diabetes-related distress were associated with poor glycemic control and non-adherence to treatment. Depression and anxiety have also been shown to be related to increased hyperglycemia. Furthermore, other studies have also demonstrated that psychological factors may contribute to metabolic, gastrointestinal, and sexual dysfunction along with neuropathic symptoms in patients with diabetes. A study by Lustman et al. evaluated the relationship between depression and diabetes symptoms and concluded that diabetes symptoms are more likely to be associated with depression than to conventional markers of glucose control. Another study revealed that every group of gastrointestinal symptoms was significantly related to psychiatric illness. Overall, these findings suggest that psychological factors have an excellent impact on diabetes outcomes and hence should be considered in casual speculations.

Psychotherapies and Approaches in Diabetes Treatment

The patient's perception about the seriousness of diabetes will affect the way they cope with the disease. Several psychological factors as discussed earlier contribute to affect the emotional and psychological well-being of an individual with diabetes. These include degree to which an individual accepts his/her diagnosis, how the individual adjusts to the demands of self-care routine, and finally how he/she copes with progression of the condition, which potentially includes the development of diabetes-related complications. However, considering that living with diabetes may be a lifelong stress and requires handling psychological issues, the psychological reactions of patients towards diabetes are often categorized under four basic levels of emotional distress. Effective management of diabetes requires complex, continual, and demanding self-care behavior. Considering that, psychosocial impact is a strong predictor of mortality and morbidity in diabetes patients, integrating psychosocial aspects at all levels of diabetes management is important for better treatment adherence to achieve good glycemic control. It is doc-

umented that psychosocial stress is common in subjects with Diabetes. Keeping in mind the importance of psychosocial factors within the management and growing burden of diabetes, there is a requirement for development and implementation of effective, well-evaluated psychosocial interventions/therapies to assist people in handling with the daily demands of diabetes.

Moreover, most physicians believe that psychological problems are associated with worse outcomes and have prompted the establishment of guidelines to ensure that proper psychological support is provided to the patients and negative emotions that arise in living with diabetes are adequately managed. Psychosocial interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy, motivational therapy, problem-solving therapy, coping skills training, and family behavior therapy have all shown to improve the treatment adherence and achieve good glycemic control. Considering these facts, psychosocial interventions are recognized as an integral a neighborhood of diabetes care. Such interventions are very useful to improve glycemic control and self-care behavior, thus reducing the risk of complications and improving the QoL of the patient with diabetes.

To bring the psychological intervention into the prevailing treatment plan, few changes area unit vital at the health-care delivery points: It is vital to include psychological screening and management at each level of polygenic disease care. It is vital to sensitize health-care professionals, persons with polygenic disease, and their relations concerning the importance of psychological screening and intervention beside alternative suggested treatment. There should be associate in nursing support program at the community level to enhance the attention level of psychological well-being of persons with polygenic disease. Diabetes could be a life-long illness, associate in nursing education ought to be thought of an integral a part of interference, treatment, care, and follow-up. Here not one extra illness will the coaching of the person perform as crucial an edge because it achieves in polygenic disease. Quite ninetieth of polygenic disease care is allotted by the diabetic patients themselves. In this respect, the patient must learn self-care and the way to conduct observance and analysis, that is, war the management of his/her own illness by gaining a lot of awareness and feat the required skills. Patients cohesive interests help will manage the illness themselves get a lot of positive outcomes from treatment and pay a lot of less. The special education needs on the severity of non-insulin dependent polygenic disease and the impact of polygenic disease on the patients' life. The goal of promoting the patient's eudaemonia associate in nursing improved quality of life whereas preventing complications needs a treatment approach that comes with an understanding of the social, psychological and medicine ramifications of polygenic disease. In our care of patients with polygenic disease, we tend to should try to grasp the way to develop and maintain a healthy ther-

apeutic relationship. This relationship will be reinforced by educating and inspiring patients to participate actively in setting the goals for his or her care. In caring for youngsters with polygenic disease, we would like to grasp the importance of involving adults within the child's polygenic disease management. Children and adolescent kids do not seem to be able to treat for his or her polygenic disease whereas adult kids cannot be presupposed to provide everything their individual polygenic disease managing treatment. Hence, coaching should be offered to the full home dwellers. Considering the speedily increasing range of adult patients with sort a pair of polygenic disease, and the tremendous and growing public health burden of polygenic disease, the event and clinical implementation of effective psychosocial interventions area unit essential desires. Diabetes self-management coaching has been thought of a vital a part of clinical management. Over the years, academic techniques have evolved, and these have shifted from informative displays to interventions involving patient authorization. This ought to facilitate raise awareness of the importance of fixing our approach to polygenic disease care, and focusing a lot of on the attitudes, desires and wishes of individuals with polygenic disease and caregivers. Being diagnosed with polygenic disease demands early treatment and education. Coaching should primarily convey very important information and assist the patient and family suffer, so that they will then war extra trials. One ought to ab initio teach patients the abilities on metabolic management. In intensity learning is very effective as before long because the patient is familiar with the essential endurance skills associate in nursing has become a communicative modification. Education ought to additionally promote a way that polygenic disease is compatible with a healthy, happy life attentively to psychological and social problems. As patients could also be passing through moments of despair and despair, we tend to should be there to coach, facilitate and supply a way of support. To give such a way of security is that the elementary basis of productive treatment. The patient's confidence in his/her personality-value associate in nursing a positive perspective on living area unit the vital psychological variables for glucose management and health-associated excellence of living. As mentioned higher than once discussing patient authorization, a lot of older doctor – patient relationship, a lot of active header behavior associate in nursing the higher health-related quality of life influence management in an advantageous method. This will in the main be achieved through patient education and motivation.

If the patient will keep this approach for as extended as probable despite his/her personal scenario, then matters looks to be advantageous for the fulfillment of each healing aspirations.

References

- 1) Egede LE, Zheng D, Simpson K. Comorbid Depression is Associated With Increased Health Care Use and Expenditures in Individuals With Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25(3):464–470. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.3.464>.
- 2) Polonsky W. Understanding and assessing diabetes-specific quality of life. *Diabetes Spectrum*. 2000;13:36.
- 3) Bradley C, Gamsu DS. Guidelines for encouraging psychological wellbeing: Report of a working group of the World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe and International Diabetes Federation European Region St Vincent Declaration Action Programme for Diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine*;11:510–516.
- 4) Funnell MM. The Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes, and Needs (DAWN) Study. *Clinical Diabetes*. 2006;24(4):154–155. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diaclin.24.4.154>.
- 5) Skovlund SE, and MP. The Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes, and Needs (DAWN) Program: A New Approach to Improving Outcomes of Diabetes Care. *Diabetes Spectrum*. 2005;18(3):136–142. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diaspect.18.3.136>.
- 6) Weinger K, Jacobson AM. Psychosocial and quality of life correlates of glycemic control during intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2001;42(2):123–131. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0738-3991\(00\)00098-7](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0738-3991(00)00098-7).
- 7) Jacobson AM, Hauser ST, Lavori P, Willett JB, Cole CF, Wolfsdorf JI, et al. Family environment and glycemic control: a four-year prospective study of children and adolescents with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 1994;56(5):401–409. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199409000-00004>.
- 8) Funnell MM, Anderson RM. Empowerment and Self-Management of Diabetes. *Clinical Diabetes*. 2004;22(3):123–127. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diaclin.22.3.123>.
- 9) Wagner E, Austin B, Korff MV. Organizing Care for Patients With Chronic Illness Revisited. *The Milbank Quarterly*. 2019;97(3):659–664.
- 10) Delamater AM. Improving Patient Adherence. *Clinical Diabetes*. 2006;24(2):71–77. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diaclin.24.2.71>.
- 11) Lloyd CE, Orchard TJ. Physical and psychological well-being in adults with Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 1999;44(1):9–19. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0168-8227\(99\)00004-2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0168-8227(99)00004-2).
- 12) Gregg EW, Beckles GL, Williamson DF, Leveille SG, Langlois JA, Engelgau MM, et al. Diabetes and physical disability among older U.S. adults. *Diabetes Care*. 2000;23(9):1272–1277. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.23.9.1272>.
- 13) Rankin S, Stallings K. Patient education: Principles & practice. Philadelphia, PA. Lippincott. 2001.
- 14) Norris SL, Engelgau MM, Narayan KMV. Effectiveness of Self-Management Training in Type 2 Diabetes: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Care*. 2001;24(3):561–587. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337/diacare.24.3.561>.
- 15) Ponder S, Sullivan S, Mcbath G. Type 2 diabetes mellitus in teens. *Diabetes Spectrum*. 2000;13:95.